IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	§	
	§	
VS.	§	NO. A-11-CR-322 LY
	§	
JOSE LUIS CASAS-DURAN	§	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

TO: THE HONORABLE LEE YEAKEL UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

The undersigned submits this Report and Recommendation to the District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), 18 U.S.C. § 3401(i), and Rule 1(d) of Appendix C of the Local Court Rules of the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges. The Court conducted a hearing on July 11, 2013, and heard arguments from all of the parties with regard to the U.S. Probation Office's Petition seeking to revoke the Defendant's term of supervised release.

I. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On, August 22, 2012, Judge Yeakel sentenced the Defendant to 10 months of imprisonment, followed by three years of supervised release, for illegal reentry after deportation, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326. On March 5, 2012, the Defendant's term of supervised release commenced when he was released from the Bureau of Prisons and removed from the United States to Mexico. On August 23, 2012, the Defendant was arrested in Austin, Texas, and charged with aggravated robbery. The offense report alleged that the Defendant and another man were together in a bar, at which they met a third person. The Defendant and his acquaintance invited the victim to join them at a another bar, and en route to that bar, assaulted and robbed the victim of his wallet, which contained over

\$700. Ultimately the Defendant pled guilty to a Class A misdemeanor assault, and received a sentence of 179 days.

Based on these events, on September 7, 2012, the Probation Office submitted its petition alleging that the Defendant violated his conditions by committing a new offense, and by illegally reentering the U.S., and the undersigned authorized the issuance of a warrant that same day. Upon his release from state custody on July 3, 2013, after serving the 179 day sentence, the Defendant was arrested on the warrant and transferred to federal custody.

On July 11, 2013, the Defendant and his attorney appeared before the undersigned Magistrate Judge for a hearing on the Petition. On the same date, the Defendant and his attorney signed a Consent to Allocution Before United States Magistrate Judge. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636(a) and 18 U.S.C. § 3401(i), this Court held a Supervised Release Revocation Hearing, at which time the Defendant pleaded "True" to the petition.

II. FINDINGS OF THE COURT

- 1. The Defendant was competent to stand trial on the charges against him, and had both a factual as well as a rational understanding of the proceedings against him.
- 2. The Defendant does not suffer from any physical or mental impairment that would affect his ability to fully understand the charge against him or the consequences of his plea.
 - 3. The Defendant received a copy of the Petition naming him, and he read it.
- 4. The Defendant understood the Petition and the charges against him and had the opportunity to discuss the Petition and charges with his attorney.
 - 5. The Defendant waived his preliminary hearing.
 - 6. The Defendant voluntarily gave consent to allocute before a U.S. Magistrate Judge.

- 7. The Defendant understood that he had the right to present evidence and to cross-examine witnesses at the hearing, and waived that right.
- 8. The Government gave a summary of the evidence against the Defendant, to which the Defendant did not object.
 - 9. The plea of true was freely, intelligently, and voluntarily made by the Defendant.
- 10. The Defendant understood all of his statutory and constitutional rights and desired to waive them.
- 11. The Defendant violated conditions of his supervised release by committing a new offense, and by reentering the United States without permission.

III. RECOMMENDATIONS

The Court has carefully considered all of the arguments and the evidence presented by the Government and the Defendant and RECOMMENDS, based on the original offense and the intervening conduct of the Defendant, that the Defendant's supervised release be REVOKED. The Court has taken into account the policy statements in Chapter Seven of the Sentencing Guidelines. The most serious violation is a Grade B, and the Defendant's criminal history category is IV, resulting in an (advisory) guideline range of 8 to 14 months of imprisonment. Having considered all of the above, and noting the seriousness of the conduct underlying the assault offense, the undersigned RECOMMENDS that the Defendant be sentenced to 14 months of imprisonment, with no supervised release to follow.

IV. WARNING

The parties may file objections to this Report and Recommendation. A party filing objections must specifically identify those findings or recommendations to which objections are

being made. The District Court need not consider frivolous, conclusive, or general objections.

Battles v. United States Parole Comm'n, 834 F.2d 419, 421 (5th Cir. 1987).

A party's failure to file written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations

contained in this Report within fourteen (14) days after the party is served with a copy of the Report

shall bar that party from de novo review by the district court of the proposed findings and

recommendations in the Report and, except upon grounds of plain error, shall bar the party from

appellate review of unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the

district court. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150-153, 106 S.Ct. 466,

472-74 (1985); Douglass v. United Services Automobile Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1428-29 (5th Cir.

1996). To the extent that a party has not been served by the Clerk with this Report &

Recommendation electronically pursuant to the CM/ECF procedures of this District, the Clerk is

directed to mail such party a copy of this Report and Recommendation by certified mail, return

receipt requested.

SIGNED this 11th day of July, 2013.

ANDREW W. AUSTIN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

4